

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಎದ್ದುಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಉತ್ತರವಾದುದು ಬರ್ಚುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ವಹಿಸಿ ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದೆ.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Mr. Speaker, Sir, there is something funny in this question alone, viz., Q. No. 474.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Please put the question first.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—No, Sir, even in the very question, I am seeing something very funny. Some new clause has been added to my original question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What has happened Sri Arumugham. Please put the question? We are going back instead of going forward. I request you to put the question and then put supplementaries. If there is any fallacy or inconsistency, you say that afterwards.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—I want to bring to the notice of the Speaker...

Mr. SPEAKER.—Do not put the question to me. You put the question first and then show the inconsistency, if any. Unless the House is seized of the question, how can anything about its content arise?

Action taken against the Prohibition Staff on Charges of Corruption

Q.—474. Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM (Kolar Gold Fields).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of persons among the prohibition staff who were fined, suspended or dismissed on charges of corruption;

(b) the total number of Gazetted Officers, Ministerial staff and menials and the scheduled castes among them as on 1st January 1948 and 31st December 1956?

A.—Sri N. RACHAIAH (Minister for Social Welfare, Excise and Prohibition).—

(a)—

Fined	...	Nil
Suspended	...	21
Reduced	...	20
Dismissed	...	17

Total ... 58

(b) There was no prohibition staff on 1st January 1948. Figures for the period from 1st July 1948 to 31st December 1956 are as follows:—

		<i>Fined</i>	<i>Suspended</i>	<i>Reduced</i>	<i>Dismissed</i>	<i>Total</i>
Gazetted Officers	...	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Non -Gazetted (Executive)	...	Nil	21	20	17	58
Ministerial	...	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Menials	...	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Total	...	Nil	21	20	17	58
Scheduled Caste persons among them	...	Nil	3	1	1	5

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now what is the inconsistency?

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—In question (b), the words 'Scheduled Castes' have crept in along with 'Ministerial staff and menials'. I have referred to my file and I find that I never wanted information concerning the Scheduled Castes in respect of this question. It has somehow been incorporated in this question. The

Secretariat must have thought that whenever Arumugham puts a question, it would be on Scheduled Castes.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After all, in the reply given there is some additional information. That should not matter. You have the reply for your question.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—This question was put in May 1957 and the answer is being given after seventeen months. Even then, no sooner the

(SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM)

question list was in my hands, i.e.; yesterday, I verified the matter in my file. The Minister has taken one year and five months to find a reply.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There, of course, the Minister could not be held responsible. He cannot be taken to task for that.

* Sri N. RACHIAH.—It is not the Government that included the Scheduled Castes on its initiative. The question was received from the Legislature Secretariat and we have answered the question in the form we received it. Government has made no additions of its own accord.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is this a reflection on the administration of the Legislature Secretariat?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does the Hon'ble Member maintain that he had not asked for this information in respect of the Scheduled Castes?

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—No, Sir. I did not.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will verify from our records. I have sent for them. You can now proceed with the supplementaries if you have any.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—What is the particular cadre of the 20 that were reduced and the 17 dismissed?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—Out of the reduced one was a Sub-Inspector, one Daffedar and all others were Constables.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Do all these 58 persons belong to the Prohibition staff or are there administrative staff also?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—Generally, the Prohibition staff are drawn from the Police Department. As and when Government feels that certain persons would be efficient for the implementation of the Prohibition Act, it generally gets them on deputation, from the Police Department. As such there is no separate Department for the Prohibition staff; we have entrusted this work only to the Police Department.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕನ್ನಪ್ಪ.—ನರ್ಕಾರದ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಒಳಗಾಗಿರುವ 58 ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರು ಯಾರು ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರು?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—The suspended: Shimoga district: 4, K. G. F: 4, Tumkur: 4, and Bijapur: 4. Then those reduced: Simoga: 1, Tumkur: 19. Dismissed: K.G.F: 2, Tumkur: 10, Dharwar: 5.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know the charges levelled against the Sub-Inspector of Prohibition? What were the grounds on which Government came to such a conclusion?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is asking for too many details. It is not possible to reply.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I submit that the Minister concerned is prepared to reply.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—The charges against the staff generally relate to corruption and petty prohibition offences. This is one of the charges levelled against the officer concerned.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—What is the total strength of the Prohibition staff?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—Gazetted Officers: 4, Non-Gazetted Officers: 1,279, Ministerial: 37, Menials: 6.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Are District and Assistant Superintendents included in the Gazetted Officers?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—Generally, the Assistant Superintendent is in charge of the district.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Is it a fact that Inspectors are also gazetted in the Bombay area?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—That is one of the administrative lacuna which Government would rectify.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—1948 ರಿಂದ 1956 ವರೆಗೆ ಲಂಡನ್ ಆರೋಪಣೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವರದಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—That information will be supplied to the Hon'ble Member.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—I would like to know if any charge-sheet has been filed against any officer for violating Prohibition laws?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—There is no such charge-sheet.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—If an officer is to be adversely affected, is it not necessary that he should be charge-sheeted?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—If any gross charges are made out against an officer, then Government would certainly charge-sheet him and take suitable action.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—What are the reasons for suspending and dismissing these officers?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—If there is *prima facie* ground for a case against an officer, Government would accord suitable punishment.

Sri S. D. GOANKAR.—How many of the persons suspended have been reinstated?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—There is no such case of reinstatement.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—While appointing the Prohibition staff, would Government ensure that such persons are not addicted to drink themselves?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—That is exactly what the Government is doing.

Steps taken for the Welfare of Harijans under the First and Second Five-Year Plans.

Q.—532. Sri T. HANUMAIAH (Challakere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the several items of works relating to the improvement of economic and social conditions of Harijans in the State included and successfully executed under the First and Second Five-Year Plans;

(b) (i) the total amount provided in the First and Second Five-Year Plans of the State for the said purpose;

(ii) the amount spent out of that to improve the social, educational and economic conditions of these people;

(c) whether the said plans would be placed before the House?

A.—Sri N. RACHIAH (Minister for Social Welfare, Excise and Prohibition).—

(a) (1) Housing of Scheduled Castes.

(2) Economic Aid to Agriculture to the poor Scheduled Castes Agriculturists.

(3) Provision of Drinking Water Wells.

(4) Community Centres (Sanskriti-mandirs) and supply of radios for their cultural improvement.

(5) Monetary help to the Scheduled Caste Industrialists and to set up Cottage and Home Industries.

(6) Publicity and Propaganda for the removal of Untouchability—publication of Harijanabhyudaya Journal, Printing of posters and pamphlets, Harijan Day, Inter-caste dinners, Holding of Melas and Prabhat Pheries, etc.

(7) Educational facilities to Scheduled Castes children.

	<i>Amount provided</i>
(b). (i) I Five-Year Plan (For Old Mysore).	(Rs. in lakhs) 115.43
II Five-Year Plan (For New Mysore State).	207.755
	<i>Amount spent</i>
(ii) I Five-Year Plan (For Old Mysore).	107.94
II Five-Year Plan (for New Mysore State—up to end of December 1957).	27.95
(c) Yes. (<i>Vide</i> statement appended.)	